

## Antagonistic potentials of mangrove soil fungi against plant pathogens

\*S.S. Sudha, A. Paneerselvam\*\*, and N. Thajuddin \*\*\*

### ABSTRACT

The culture filtrate of mangrove soil fungi viz, *Aspergillus flavus*, *A.fumigatus*, *A.luchensis*, *A.niger*, *A.ochraceous*, *A.terreus*, *Penicillium citrinum*, *Penicillium janthinellum*, *Trichoderma harzianum* and *T.pseudokoningii* were tested against plant pathogens of *Drechslera ellisii*, *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Rhizoctonia solani*. The maximum inhibition of growth of test fungi was observed with staling products of the antagonistic fungi *A. niger* and *P. janthinellum*. The pH 6 and salinity 30 ppt were optimum for the production of antimicrobial compounds.

**Keywords:** Culture filtrate, *Fusarium oxysporum* Antagonistic fungi, Mangrove soil, Plant pathogens

### Introduction

In the recent years considerable interest has been shown in the secondary metabolites of marine fungi, because of their importance (Kirk and Catalfoma, 1970) in medicine (Cuomo *et.al.*, 1996; Varoglu *et.al.*, 1997; Crews, 1998; Jenkins, 199; Hoeller *et.al.*, 1999; Byun *et.al.*, 2003). Therefore it is proved that the ocean can provide an inexhaustible source of water, metabolically derived products, food materials and nutrients. Over the past three decades fungi in different mangrove environs have been described (Pugh, 1968; Rai *et.al.*, 1969; Lee and Baker, 1972; Kohlmeyer and Kohlmeyer, 1979; Dunn and Baker, 1983). But studies on their potential utilization for various purposes have received little attention. In the present study, antagonistic activity of some soil fungi against plant pathogens has been investigated *in vitro* with cell-free culture filtrate of fungi amended in medium.

### Materials and methods

#### Isolation of soil fungi

The soil samples were collected from Muthupet mangrove environs situated at Thiruvavur district, Tamil Nadu. Random samples of soil were collected from sampling stations in sterile polytene bags and cooled together. For the isolation of fungi from the soil, dilution plate technique *et.al.*, 1960) was used. Sea water corn meal agar medium (SWCMA) (Corn meal powder 20 g, dextrose 20 g, peptone 20 g, agar 10 g, aged sea water 500 ml and 500 ml of distilled water) was used in isolation of fungi.

Department of Microbiology, Dr. N.G.P. Arts and Science College Coimbatore - 641 035

Department of Botany and Microbiology  
VVM Sri Pushpam College, Poondi - 613 503, India

Department of Microbiology, National Facility for Marine Cyanobacteria

Marathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli - 620 024, India

### Antagonistic activity

The plant pathogens *Drechslera ellisii*, *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Rhizoctonia solani*. were obtained from A.V.V.M. Sri Pushpam College culture collection centre, Poondi, Thanjavur district, Tamil Nadu and maintained in potato dextrose agar medium (PDA). The antagonistic activity of 10 isolated soil fungi (Table 1) were performed by cell-free culture filtrate method (food poisoning technique) as proposed by Grover and Moore (1962).

### Optimization of pH and salinity

In order to determine suitable pH and salinity that favour the antibiotic production, those fungi *Aspergillus niger* and *Penicillium janthinellum* that showed more activity against pathogens were selected and experiments were designed as follows.

#### (a) pH

Seawater corn meal liquid broth was prepared and pH was adjusted to 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 using 0.1 N HCL and NaOH. The sterilized medium was inoculated at  $28 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  for 15 days. After 15 days of incubation the staling substances were filtered through filter paper (Whatman No.1) and then through Sietz filter (G5). The filtrate was transferred aseptically into the conical flasks and stored at  $4^\circ\text{C}$  for further use.

#### (b) Salinity

NaCl and freshwater were used to increase and decrease the salinity (10-60 ppt) at 10 ppt interval respectively in Sea Water Corn Meal (SWCB) broth. The fungi were inoculated and growth in the different salinity broth and filtrate collected and stored as described earlier.

The prepared culture filtrates were added separately to the cooled PDA medium to give the concentration of 5, 10 and 25 per cent. The filtrate



Table I. Antifungal activity of mangrove soil fungi against plant pathogens

Name of the culture filtrate	Concn. (%)	<i>D.ellisi</i>		<i>F.oxysporum</i>		<i>R.solani</i>	
		Growth rate (mm/day)	% inhibition	Growth rate (mm/day)	% inhibition	Growth rate (mm/day)	% inhibition
Control		10.0		9.		9.33	
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	5	7.6	23	7.0	22	9.0	3
	10	6.0	40	6.66	25	8.66	7
	20	4.33	56	6.66	25	8.33	10
<i>A.fumigatus</i>	5	6.0	40	6.66	25	9.0	3
	10	6.0	40	6.0	33	8.66	7
	25	6.0	40	5.0	44	8.0	14
<i>A.luchuensis</i>	5	9.33	6	6.66	25	9.33	3
	10	8.0	20	6.66	25	9.33	7
	25	6.33	36.6	6.66	25	8.33	10
<i>A.niger</i>	5	6.33	36.6	3.33	62	5.0	46
	10	6	40	3.33	62	4.66	50
	25	4.33	56	2.66	70	4.0	57
<i>A.ochraceous</i>	5	8.33	16.6	4.66	48	7.33	21
	10	6.33	36.6	4.33	52	6.66	28
	25	5.33	46.6	4.0	55	5.66	39
<i>A.terreus</i>	5	8.0	20	6.0	33	9.0	3
	10	7.0	30	5.33	40	8.33	10
	25	6.33	36	5.0	44	8.0	14
<i>Penicillium citrinum</i>	5	8.0	20	7.66	14.8	8.0	14
	10	7.0	30	7.33	18.5	5.66	25
	25	5.66	43	5.33	40	4.33	53
<i>P.janthinellum</i>	5	7.0	30	4.33	52	7.0	25
	10	5.66	43	3.66	59	6.0	35
	25	4.0	60	3.33	62	5.66	39
<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>	5	9.33	6.	6.0	33	7.33	21
	10	8.33	16.6	5.66	37	6.66	28
	25	4.33	56	5.33	40	6.0	35
<i>T.pseudokoningii</i>	5	7.33	26.6	5.0	44	8.0	14
	10	7.0	30	4.33	52	7.33	21
	25	5.66	43	3.33	62	6.66	28



Table 2. Effect of culture filtrate of *Aspergillus niger* and *Penicillium janthinellum* grown in different salinity against plant pathogens

Salt concentration	Conc. used (%)	<i>D.ellisi</i>		<i>Aspergillus niger</i>						<i>Penicillium janthinellum</i>			
		Growth rate (mm/day)	inhibit ion (%)	<i>F.oxysporum</i>		<i>R.solani</i>		<i>D.ellisi</i>		<i>F.oxysporum</i>		<i>R.solani</i>	
				Growth rate (mm/day)	inhibit ion (%)	Growth rate (mm/day)	inhibit ion (%)	Growth rate (mm/day)	inhibit ion (%)	Growth rate (mm/day)	inhibit ion (%)	Growth rate (mm/day)	inhibit ion (%)
10	5	6.0	40	2.66	65	8.33	10.7	9.0	10	6.66	13	7.33	21
	10	5.66	43	2.0	73.9	7.0	25	8.0	20	6.33	17.3	6.66	28
	25	5.0	50	0.33	95.6	6.33	32	7.0	30	5.66	26	6.33	32
20	5	5.66	43	2.33	69.5	5.0	46	8.66	13	5.33	30	2.66	17
	10	5.0	50	1.66	78.2	4.66	50	8.0	20	4.66	39	7.0	25
	25	4.66	53	0.33	95.6	4.0	57	7.66	23	3.66	52	5.66	39
30	5	4.33	56	1.33	82.6	4.0	57	6.66	33	4.66	39	6.0	35
	10	3.66	63	0.33	95.6	3.33	64	5.66	43	4.0	47	5.33	42.8
	25	2.66	73.3	Nil	100	2.66	71	3.0	70	2.66	65.2	4.33	53
40	5	5.0	50	1.66	78.2	5.0	46	7.0	30	5.66	26	6.66	28
	10	4.33	56	1.0	86.9	4.66	50	6.33	36	5.0	34.7	6.0	35
	25	3.66	63	0.66	91	3.66	60	5.66	43	4.33	43	5.66	39
50	5	5.0	50	2.0	73.9	5.33	42	7.33	26	6.0	21	7.0	25
	10	4.66	53	1.33	82.6	4.66	50	6.66	33	5.33	30	6.33	32
	25	4.0	60	1.0	86.9	4.0	57	6.33	36	4.66	39	5.66	39
60	5	5.66	43	1.66	78.2	5.0	46	7.66	23	6.33	17.3	6.66	28
	10	5.0	50	1.33	82.6	4.33	53	7.0	30	5.66	26	6.0	35
	25	4.66	53	0.66	91	4.0	57	6.33	36	4.33	43	5.33	42.8

Table 3. Effect culture filtrate of *Aspergillus niger* and *Penicillium janthinellum* grown in different pH against plant pathogens

pH used	Conc. used (%)	<i>D.ellisi</i>		<i>Aspergillus niger</i>						<i>Penicillium janthinellum</i>			
		Growth rate (mm/day)	inhibit ion (%)	<i>F.oxysporum</i>		<i>R.solani</i>		<i>D.ellisi</i>		<i>F.oxysporum</i>		<i>R.solani</i>	
				Growth rate (mm/day)	inhibit ion (%)	Growth rate (mm/day)	inhibit ion (%)	Growth rate (mm/day)	inhibit ion (%)	Growth rate (mm/day)	inhibit ion (%)	Growth rate (mm/day)	inhibit ion (%)
pH4	5	6.33	36	2.66	65	5.0	46	9.33	6	6.66	13	7.33	21
	10	6.0	40	1.0	86.9	4.66	50	9.0	10	5.66	26	6.66	28
	25	5.33	46.6	0.33	95.6	4.33	53	8.0	20	5.0	34	6.33	32
pH5	5	6.0	40	2.0	73.9	5.0	46	9.33	6.6	5.0	34.7	7.66	17
	10	5.66	43	1.33	82.6	4.66	50	7.66	23	4.33	43	6.66	28
	25	5.0	50	0.33	95.6	4.0	57	4.33	56	3.33	56	6.0	35
pH6	5	4.33	56	2.0	73.9	4.66	50	7.66	23	4.66	39	7.0	25
	10	3.66	63.3	1.33	82.6	4.0	57	6.66	33	3.66	52	6.0	35
	25	3.0	70	Nil	100	3.33	64	3.66	63	2.66	65	5.0	46
pH7	5	4.66	53	2.66	65	4.33	53	8.33	16	5.66	26	7.0	25
	10	4.0	60	1.66	78.2	4.0	57	8.0	20	4.66	39	5.66	39
	25	3.66	63.3	0.33	95.6	3.33	64	6.66	33	3.66	52	5.0	46
pH8	5	4.66	53	2.33	69.5	4.66	50	7.66	23	6.0	21	7.0	25
	10	4.33	56	1.66	78.2	4.33	53	6.66	33	5.0	34	5.66	39
	25	3.33	66	1.0	86.9	4.0	57	6.33	36	4.66	39	5.0	46
pH9	5	4.33	56	4.33	43.4	5.0	46	7.66	23	5.0	34.7	6.66	28
	10	4.0	60	1.66	78.2	5.0	46	7.33	26	4.33	43	5.0	46
	25	3.66	63	1.0	86.9	4.66	50	6.66	33	3.33	56	5.0	46



mixed Potato Dextrose Agar media were dispensed in Petriplates and allowed to solidify. After solidification, 5 mm disc blocks were cut from actively growing margin of the test fungi *Drechslera ellisii*, *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Rhizoctonia solani*, and inoculated separately at the centre of the plates. The plates were incubated at  $28 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  for five days. The radial growth was measured every day and the mean growth rate was calculated. A control was also maintained for each fungal filtrate assay. The per cent inhibition of growth was calculated as follows.

% of inhibition of growth

$$\frac{\text{Growth in Control} - \text{Growth in treatment}}{\text{Growth in control}} \times 100$$

### Results and discussion

Totally 10 species of fungi belonging to 3 genera (*Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Trichoderma*) were recorded from the mangrove soil samples. Swart (1958) recorded the dominance of the species of *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* in the mangrove swamps of Inhyaca Island. Rai and Chowdhery (1976) reported that the species of *Aspergilli* were dominated over Mucorales and *Penicillia* in the mud of mangrove swamps. Chowdhery (1983) studied the succession pattern of fungi colonizing wood in the mangrove swamps reported that species of *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* rank among initial colonizers along with Mucoraceous fungi.

The staling products in the culture filtrates of the antagonistic fungi inhibited the growth of the pathogenic fungi. The fungi varied in their efficiency. The maximum percentage of inhibition of growth of pathogens was on the potato dextrose agar medium amended with 25% of the culture filtrate of *A. Niger* and *P. Janthinellum* compared with other fungi tested (Table 1). The fungus *Trichoderma* proved to be a good antagonistic fungi against plant pathogens by many workers includes Singh (1988), Gupta *et al.*, (1991), Kumaresan and Manibhushan rao (1991), Mondal *et al.*, (1995), Khan and Saxena (1997), Ahmed *et al.*, (1999), Jeyalakshmi *et al.*, (1999), Ambikapathy (2000), Patricio *et al.*, (2001), Niknejad Kazempour *et al.*, (2002), Deore and Sawant (2002). But in the present investigation *A.niger* and *P.janthinellum* were found to have antagonistic potential against plant pathogenic fungi compared with the other soil fungi tested.

The efficacy of an antagonist depends on the media composition, pH, salinity and other environmental condition in which the organism being grown (Weindling, 1934; Whipps, 1987; Maiese *et al.*, 1994; Gogoi and Roy, 1996). The optimization of

conditions is essential not only for the growth of a particular organism but also obtain more extracellular compounds. In the present investigation the pH and salinity stress over the activity of antagonistic organism (*A.niger* and *P.janthinellum*) revealed that maximum percentage inhibition of pathogenic fungi observed with the extract of *A. niger*, *P.janthinellum*) that have been grown in pH 6 and 30% of salinity.

The culture filtrate of *A.niger* grown in 30 ppt showed maximum percentage of inhibition of growth of pathogenic fungi viz., *Fusarium oxysporum* (100%), *Drechslera ellisii* (73.3%) and *Rhizoctonia solani* (71%). The culture filtrate of *P.janthinellum* grown in 30 ppt showed maximum percentage of inhibition of pathogenic fungi tested such as *D.ellisii* (70%), *F.oxysporum* (65.2%) and *R.solani* (53%) (Table 2). The culture filtrate of *A.niger* grown in pH 6 showed maximum percentage inhibition of growth of the pathogenic fungi tested *F.oxysporum*, *D.ellisii* and *R.solani* were 100, 70 and 64% respectively. Where as *P.janthinellum* grown in pH 6 also showed maximum percentage inhibition of growth of the pathogenic fungi tested *F.oxysporum*, *D.ellisii* and *R.solani* were 65, 63 and 46% respectively (Table 3). The present study gives an idea on the mangrove soil fungi and their antifungal properties and optimization of media for the production of anti fungal properties.

### Reference

1. D.S. Ahmed, C. Perez-Sanchez, C. Egea and M.E. Candela, 1999. Evaluation of *richoderma harzianum* for controlling root rot caused by *Phytophthora capsici* in pepper plants, *Pl. Pathol*, 78(7): 799-803.
2. V. Ambikapathy, 2000. Studies on the saprophytic behaviour and suppression of *Rhizoctonia solani* Khn, A broad spectrum pathogen, Ph.D. Thesis, Bharathidasan University, Thiruchirapalli, India.
3. Byun, Hee Guk, Zhang, Huiping, Mochizuki, Masami, Adachi, Kyok Shizuri, Yoshikazu, Lee, Won-jae, Kim, Se and Kwon, 2003. Novel antifungal Diketopiperazine from marine fungus, *J.Antibiot*, 56: 102-106.
4. H.J. Chowdhery, 1983. Ecological specialization in mangrove swamp fungi. III - succession of fungi colonizing wood in mangrove mud, *Bibliotheca Mycologia*, 91: 639-647.
5. P. Crews, 1998. Cultured marine fungi as a source of new bioactive materials, California-Sea Grant Report of Completed Project 1994-1997, pp. 137-144.
6. V. Cuomo, I. Palomba, A. Guerriero, M. D-Ambrosio and F. Pietra, 1995. Antimicrobial activities from marine fungi, *J. Mar. Biotech*, 2(4): 199-204.



7. P.B. Deore, and D.M. Sawant, 2002. Management of guar powdery mildew by *Trichoderma* sp. culture filtrates, *Rev. Pl. Pathol.*, 81 (3): 150-156
8. P.H. Dunn, G.E. Baker, Filamentous fungi of the Psammon habitat at Enewetak Atoll, Marshall Islands, *Mycologia*, 75(5): 839-853.
9. R. Gogoi and A.K. Roy, 1996. Effect of soil pH and media on the antagonism of *Aspergillus terreus* to the rice sheath blight fungus, *Indian Phytopath.*, 49(1) 32-37.
10. R.K. Grover and S. Moore, 1962. Taxometric studies of fungicides against brown rot organism *Sclerotinia fructicola* and *S. laxa*, *Phytopathol.*, 52: 876-880.
11. S.K. Gupta, N.P. Duhroo and K.R. Shyam, 1991. Antagonistic studies on seed borne mycoflora of French bean (*L.Phaseolus vulgaris*). *Indian J.Pl. Pathol.*, 9 (1&2): 62-63.
12. Hoeller, G.M. Koenig, A.D. Wright, 1999. Three new metabolites from marine derived fungi of the genera *Coniothyrium* and *Microsphaeropsis*, *J.Natl. Prod.*, 62(1): 114-118.
13. K.M. Jenkins, 1999. Chemical investigations of marine filamentous and zoosporic fungi and studies in marine microbial chemical ecology, *Diss. Abst. Int. Pl. Sci. Engg.*, 59(9): 48-54.
14. C. Jeyalakshmi, P. Durairaj, K. Seetharaman and K. Sivaprakasam, 1999. Biocontrol of fruit rot and die-back of chilli using antagonistic microorganisms, *Rev. Pl. Pathol.*, 78(4): 200-207.
15. T.A. Khan and S.K. Saxena, 1997. Effect of root dip treatment with fungal filtrates on root penetration, development and reproduction of *Meloidogyne javanica* on Tomato, *International J. Nematol.*, 7(1): 85-88.
16. P.W. Kirk and P. Catalfomo, 1970. Marine fungi: the occurrence of ergosterol and dholinme *Phytochem.*, 9: 595-597.
17. H. Kobayashi, M. Namikoshi, T. Yoshimoto and T. Yokochi, 1996. A screening method for antimetabolic and antifungal substances using conidia of *Pyricularia oryzae*, modification and application to tropical marine fungi, *J. Antibiot.*, 49(9): 873-879.
18. J. Kohlmeyer and E. Kohlmeyer, 1979. *Marine Mycology: The Higher Fungi*, Academic Press, New York, USA.
19. S. Kumaresan and K. Manibhusan rao, 1991. Studies on the biological control of rice sheath blight disease, *Indian J. Pl. Pathol.*, 9(1): 64-60.
20. B.H.K. Lee and G.E. Baker, 1972. An ecological study of the soil microfungi in a Hawaiian mangrove swamp, *Pacific Sci.*, 26: 1-10.
21. W.M. Maiese, G. Schlingmann, C.J. Pearce, G.T. Carter, L. Milne, M. Leighton, E.B.G. Jones and M. Greenstein, 1994. Fermentation, isolation and identification of antifungal lactides from a marine fungus, *Hypoxylon oceanicum*, 3<sup>rd</sup> International Marine Biotechnology-Conference Programme, Abstracts, p.97.
22. G. Mondal, K.D. Srivastava and R. Aggarwal, 1995. Antagonistic effect of *Trichoderma* sp. on *Ustilago segetum* Var. *tritici* and their compatibility with fungicides and biocides, *Indian Phytopathol.*, 48(4): 466-470.
23. M.Niknejad Kazempour, A. Shariti-Tehrani and M. Okhovat, 2002. Effect of antagonistic fungi *Trichoderma* spp. on the control *Fusarium* wilt of tomato caused by *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Fusarium* sp. and *L. gopersici* under green house condition, *Bicontrol News Informa.*, 23(1): 130-@REF = 24.
24. F.R.A. Patricio, H. Kimati and B.C. Barros, 2001. Selection of *Trichoderma* spp. isolates antagonistic to *Phythium aphanidermatum* and *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Summa Phytopathol.*, 27(2): 223-229..
25. G.J.F. Pugh, 1968. A study of fungi in the rhizosphere and on the root surfaces of plants growing in primitive soils *In* methods of Study in Soil Ecology [J. Phillipson (ed.)], UNESCO, Paris pp. 159-164.
26. J.N. Rai and H.J. Chowdhery, 1976. Cellulolytic activity and salinity relationship of some mangrove swamp fungi, *Nova Hedwigia*, 27: 609-617.
27. J.N. Rai, J.P. Tewari and K.G. Mukerji, 1969. Mycoflora of mangrove mud, *Mycopath. Mycol. Appl.*, 38: 37-31.
28. S.K. Singh, 1988. Studies on antagonism of soil microorganisms against sheath blight pathogen *Indian J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol.*, B18(3): 304-305.
29. H.J. Swart, 1958. An investigation of the mycoflora in the soil of some mangrove swamps, *Acta. Bota Neerl.*, 7: 741-768.
30. A. Ulken, 1972. Physiological studies on a phycomycete from a mangrove at Cananesia, Sao Paulo, Brazil. *Veroff. Inst. Meeresforsch. Bremerh.*, 13: 217-230.
31. M. Varoglu, T.H. Combett, F.A. Valeriote, P. Crews, 1997. Asperazine a selective cytotoxic alkaloid from a sponge-derived culture of *Aspergillus niger*, *J. org. Chem.*, 62: 7078-7079.
32. R. Weindling, 1934. Studies on a lethal principal effective in the parasitic action of *Trichoderma lignorum* on *Rhizoctonia solani* and other soil fungi, *phytopathol.*, 24: 1153-1170.
33. J.M. Whipps, 1987. Effects of media on growth and interactions between a range of soil borne glass house pathogens and antagonistic fungi, *New Phytopathologist*, 107:127-142.